

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/532,288 04/21/200 | | /21/2005 | Robert R Granados | BTI-73US | 8548 | | |
| 20808 | 20808 7590 06/14/2006 | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BROWN & 400 M & T E | | • | WARE, DE | WARE, DEBORAH K | | | |
| 118 NORTH | | · - | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| ITHACA, N | Y 14850 | | 1651 | 1651 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/532,288 | 10/532,288 GRANADO | | OS, ROBERT R | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Deborah K. Ware | e | 1651 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover | r sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | Idress | | | | |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP | U V IS SET TO EVE | DIDE 2 MONTU/S | N OD THIDTY (2 | 0) DAVE | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply extended by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, howe of will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to | OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED | Bly filed ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 | March 2006. | | | | | | | |
| | | nis action is non-fina | al. | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election require | ment. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held | in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| — | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| _ | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)ı | a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | | • • | · | Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bure | = | | | J | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | Ne) | | | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 41 🗆 | Interview Summary (F | PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | _ | Paper No(s)/Mail Date | e | \ | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/20/06</u> . | | Notice of Informal Part Other: | tent Application (PTC |) - 152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are presented for reconsideration on the merits.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed March 20, 2006, has been received and entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 20, 2006 was received. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-5 are objected to for the use of the term "relative" since it is considered to be a subjective term. It is further suggested to state –cloned—before each occurrence of "cell line" in claims 2-5 for consistency purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over **newly cited** Grandados et al (1994 reference cited on enclosed PTO-1449 Form).

Claims are drawn to cloned cell line derived from BTI-TN-5B1-4.

Granados et al teach cloned cell line derived from BTI-TN-5B1-4 having properties of increased production of baculovirus particles and increased expression of foreign protein. Note page 262, lines 14-17 and 25-28 and line 35 (i.e. isolated clone) and page 264, lines 19-22.

The claims are identical to the cited disclosure and is, therefore, considered to be anticipated by the teachings of the cited reference. The properties of increased baculovirus particles and expression of foreign proteins as well as increased resistance to cell culture stress are inherent features to new insect cell line from Trichoplusia ni (BTI-TN-5B1-4) as disclosed by the cited reference, see page 260, the title at line 1. In the alternative, however, that there is some difference between the cited disclosure and instant claims then the difference is considered to be so slight as to render the claims obvious. The parent cell line BTI-TN-5B1-4 is well known to possess properties of increased production of baculovirus particles, increased expression of foreign protein and cell culture stress. Thus, for its clone which is identical to the parent to possess the same properties would have been expected by one of skill in the art. In the absence of peruasive evidence to the contrary and a side by side comparison of the new clone isolates disclosed and that which are claimed the claims are alternatively rendered prima facie obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,288

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on March 20, 2006, prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

All claims fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art discussed above and cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected.

The remaining references listed on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449 are cited to further show the state of the art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAYENT EXAMINED Deborah K. Ware June 20, 2006

DAVID M. NAFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 128